PICTURE MAN IS IN TROUBLE

ALBENBERG, ACCUSES B. I MITCHELL OF FRAUDULENT TRANSACTIONS.

į,

IS HELD TO SUPERIOR COURT

COMPLAINANT CAN'T UNDERSTAND
THE DEFENDANT'S METHODS.

giates Witnesses Fail to Identify the Accused, But the Court Holds Him Anyway.

R. L. Mitchell, of Chicago, was yesterday bound over to the present term of the superior court for complicity in a deal which L. Albenberg & Co. do not think looked exactly right. Ball was fixed at \$500.

was fixed at \$500.

*According to the story told in municipal court Mitchell came here for the Rex Manufacturing company, who had a contract with L. Albenberg & Co. furnish them with enlarged pictures, the same to be given to customers of Mr. Albenberg when goods to the amount of \$25 had been purchased, coupons being issued with each purchase made. The company was to send men here to get orders for the pictures and Mr. Mitchell, with six others, began a canvass of the city a short time since and took a considerable number of orders.

Everything seemed to be working smoothly for everybody concerned when it came to the notice of Mr. Albenberg that in some instances as high as \$5 worth of orders had been given as an inducement for people to give orders for the pictures. These, of

orders for the pictures. These, of course, applied on the \$25 worth of goods to be purchased and Mr. Albenberg felt that if many such bunches of coupons were issued he would be the boser, and so had Mr. Mitchell arrested.

When the case came up in municipal court yesterday afternoon the women who were there to testify as to the

who were there to testify as to the men from whom they had received the coupons, did not recognize either Mr. Mitchell or the other two agents who were present. The court held, however, that there was sufficient reason to believe that a crime-had been committed and that Mr. Mitchell, as manager for the company, knew what was being done and consequently bound him over

and that Mr. Mitchell, as manager for the company, knew what was being done and consequently bound him over to the present term of superior court. Mr. Mitchell, on the other hand, denies that he had any knowledge of the giving of coupons and feels certain of the dismissal of the case when it comes to trial.